

UNITED STA. S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Tr. demark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

VB

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	1. 14.1 X	FIRST NAME	D INVENTOR	Ante Carlo	AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.
	09/125,7	751 10/30	/98 FO	DSTAD			0	7885.55USW
Г	-			HM12/1	109 7		EX	AMINER
	MERCHANT GOULD SMITH EDELL				UNGAR, S			₹ , S
		SCHMIDT				ART	UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	90 SOUTH	WEST CENTER SEVENTH S	TREET		•		1642	7
	MINNEAPO	LIS MN 554	02-4131			DATE M	AILED:	11/09/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/125,751

Applicant(s)

Fodstad et al

Examiner

Ungar

Group Art Unit 1642



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 30, 1998									
☐ This action is FINAL .									
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.									
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure t application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensio 37 CFR 1.136(a).	o respond within the period for response will cause the								
Disposition of Claims									
	is/are pending in the application.								
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.								
Claim(s)	is/are rejected.								
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.								
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.								
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under all Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	ed to by the Examiner. isapproveddisapproved. under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). the priority documents have been								
 □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority Attachment(s) □ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 □ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-946 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 	o(s)								
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON T	HE FOLLOWING PAGES								

Serial No: 09/125,751 Page 2

Art Unit:1642

1. Claims 52-66 are pending in the application and are currently under prosecution.

Please Note: In an effort to enhance communication with our customers and reduce processing time, Group 1640 is running a Fax Response Pilot for Written Restriction Requirements. A dedicated Fax machine is in place to receive your responses. The Fax number is 703-305-3704. A Fax cover sheet is attached to this Office Action for your convenience. We encourage your participation in this Pilot program. If you have any questions or suggestions please contact Paula Hutzell, PhD, Supervisory Patent Examiner at 703-308-4310. Thank you in advance for allowing us to enhance our customer service. Please limit the use of this dedicated Fax number to responses to Written Restrictions.

2. This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13:

Group I, claims 1-8 are drawn to method of killing breast cancer cells or other carcinoma cells and .

Group II, claims 9-12 are drawn to a preparation of two immunotoxins directed against antigens present on malignant cells.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept. If multiple products, processes of manufacture or uses are claimed, the first invention of the category first mentioned in the claims of the application will be considered as the main invention in the claims, see PCT article 17(3) (a) and 1.476 (c), 37 C.F.R. 1.475(d). Group I will be the main invention. After that, all other products and methods will be broken out as separate

Serial No: 09/125,751

Page 3

Art Unit:1642

groups (see 37 CFR 1.475(d).)

However, the inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The technical feature linking groups I/II appears to be that they both relate to two immunotoxins that bind cancer antigens. However, Stray et al (IDS item) teach a preparation with two immunotoxins that bind cancer antigens (see abstract) and WO 9402016 (IDS item) teaches a preparation with two immunotoxins that bind cancer antigens (see pgs 47-50). Therefore the technical feature linking the inventions of groups I/II does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2, as it does not define a contribution over the prior art.

Group I, claims 1-15 form a single general inventive concept, a cancer treatment method..

Group II is a immunotoxin preparation.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

3. Group I is further subject to election of a single disclosed species.

Claim 1 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising antibodies to different antigens wherein the antigens are (a) MUC1 (claim 2), (b) MUC2 (claim 2), (c) MUC3 (Claim 2). Claims 3-5 will be examined as they are drawn to the elected antigen.

3. Group I is further subject to election of a single disclosed species.

Claim 1 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising methods of administering antibodies which differ at least in objectives, method steps,

Serial No: 09/125,751

Art Unit:1642

reagents and/or dosages and/or schedules used, response variables, and criteria for success wherein the methods are (a) systemic (claim 7), (b) intratumoral (claim 8), (c) intra-pleural cavity (claim 8), (d) intra-abdominal (claim 8).

4. Group II is further subject to election of a single disclosed species.

Claim 9 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising immunotoxins with different structures and functions wherein the immunotoxins are (a) BM7 (claim 10), (b) 595 (claim 10), (c) BM2 (claim 10), (d) 12H12 (claim 10).

- 5. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103, the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not

Serial No: 09/125,751

Art Unit:1642

commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of potential 35 U.S.C. § 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

Page 5

- 8. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Ungar, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-305-2181.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula Hutzell, can be reached at (703) 308-4310. The fax phone number for this Art Unit is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Susan Ungar

Primary Patent Examiner

October 28, 1999